\sim
12
1-1
$\overline{}$

			9
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
A1 41 CA11 1 1114	10/772,047	WILKES, KENNETH	I R.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles Goodman	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeall claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS nerewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RID of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
 This communication is responsive to <u>Amendment filed on S</u> 	<u>3/28/06</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-19</u> .			
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this r	national stage applica	
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	itted. Note the attached EXAMINER' es reason(s) why the oath or declara	S AMENDMENT or N tion is deficient.	OTICE OF
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") muse (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date 	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	•	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	.84(c)) should be written on the drawin	igs in the front (not the	back) of
DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL m	nust be submitted. N	lote the
Attachment(s) Ⅰ. □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 □ Nation of Informal D	otaat Application (DTC	. 450)
2. ☐ Notice of Praftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5. ☐ Notice of Informal Page 6. ☐ Interview Summary		J-152)
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No./Mail Date	ė	
Paper No./Mail Date I. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit			wance
of Biological Material	9. Other	111	/
	- Chi	ule Sook	
	.A	RLES @©	

MARY 557

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record do not anticipate nor do they reasonably suggest the punching station and method of punching as claimed in claims 1, 8 and 14. The closest prior art, Einhiple (US 2,855,998), teaches a continuous web perforating machine comprising many of the claimed elements and steps including, inter alia, an inherent web transport system; a clamp (e.g. 120) intermittently gripping and advancing a portion of a web (22); a punch (e.g. 90); a punch block (e.g. 84); and a punch actuator (e.g. the cylinder to which the punch block is mounted). Note Fig. 6. However, Einhiple lacks the clamp advancing the web portion at a second speed different from the first speed. Moreover, there is no teaching or suggestion to modify Einhiple to have the different speeds as claimed due to the fact that Einhiple's invention works on gypsum board which is relatively delicate to the extent that different speeds during the punching process would likely destroy the work. Note e.g., c. 1, ll. 28-43. It is also the Examiner's position that having differing speeds between the web feed and punching or cutting operation is known per se. Note for example the teachings of Hayashi (US 5,932,985). However, it is emphasized that due to the nature of the work involved in Einhiple's invention, different speeds between the work and the punch would not have been an obvious modification. Thus, it is believed that the claimed inventions in combination with the lacking features in combination with all the other recited steps and elements are allowable over the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably Application/Control Number: 10/772,047

Art Unit: 3724

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on

Page 3

Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

2. The drawings were received on 3/28/06. These drawings are approved.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 8:30 AM to

6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Boyer Ashley, can be reached on (571) 272-4502. In lieu of mailing, it is

encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles Goodman Primary Examiner

AU 3724

May 30, 2006

CARLES GOC

Charles Storch